

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2 **COMMISSIONERS** Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED 3 KRISTIN K. MAYES - Chairman GARY PIERCE JUN - 5 2009 PAUL NEWMAN SANDRA D. KENNEDY DOCKETED BY **BOB STUMP** 6 DOCKET NO. W-20619A-08-0470 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF 7 CROSS CREEK RANCH COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION, AN ARIZONA NON-PROFIT CORPORATION, FOR AN ADJUDICATION NOT A PUBLIC SERVICE CORPORATION. DOCKET NO. W-04131A-08-0471 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF 10 DOCKET NO. W-20619A-08-0471 CROSS CREEK RANCH WATER COMPANY FOR THE TRANSFER OF SALE OF ITS 11 ASSETS TO CROSS CREEK RANCH 71103 DECISION NO. COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION AND 12 CANCELLATION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY. 13 **OPINION AND ORDER** 14 March 16, 2009 DATE OF HEARING: 15 Phoenix, Arizona PLACE OF HEARING: 16 Yvette B. Kinsey ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: 17 Mr. Patrick Black, FENNEMORE CRIAG, P.C., on APPEARANCES: behalf of Applicant; and 18 Mr. Wesley Van Cleve, Staff Attorney, Legal Division, 19 on behalf of the Utilities Division of the Arizona Corporation Commission. 20 BY THE COMMISSION: 21 On September 8, 2008, the Cross Creek Ranch Community Association (the "Association") 22 filed an application with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") for Adjudication Not 23 a Public Service Corporation ("Adjudication Docket"). 24 On the same date, Cross Creek Ranch Water Company (the "Water Company") filed an 25 application, in Docket Nos. W-20619A-08-0471 and W-04131A-08-0417, with the Commission 26 requesting approval to transfer its water utility assets to its sole shareholder, the Association 27 ("Transfer Docket") and to cancel its Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N"). 28

1	On Sep
2	subdivision.
3	On the
4	the Transfer D
5	consolidation
6	On Sep
7	legal description
8	On Oct
9	in the Transfer
10	On Oct
11	in the Transfer
12	On No
13	Transfer Dock
14	On De
15	Insufficiency I
16	On De
17	Motion to Con
18	On the
19	Insufficiency I
20	On Dec
21	believes granti
22	will not prejud
23	On Dec
24	020619A-08-0
25	On Dec
26	applications ha
27	On Jan
f	l

On Sept	tember 19, 2008,	the Association	n filed the legal	l description for	r the Cross C	reek Ranch
odivision.	endenime i a trajira	inggrape the second section of				

On the same date, the Association filed a Motion to Consolidate the Adjudication Docket and the Transfer Docket. The Motion stated that the two dockets are inextricably linked and that their consolidation would be administratively efficient and judicially economical.

On September 22, 2008, the Association filed a Notice of Errata, which provided a revised legal description.

On October 7, 2008, the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") filed Insufficiency Letters in the Transfer and Adjudication Dockets.

On October 29, 2008, the Water Company docketed responses to Staff's Insufficiency Letter in the Transfer Docket.

On November 28, 2008, Staff filed a second Insufficiency Letter in the Adjudication and Transfer Dockets.

On December 3, 2008, the Water Company docketed responses to Staff's second Insufficiency Letter in the Transfer Docket.

On December 5, 2008, by Procedural Order, Staff was directed to file a response to the Motion to Consolidate.

On the same date, the Association docketed responses to Staff's First and Second insufficiency Letters in the Adjudication Docket.

On December 11, 2008, Staff filed a Response to the Motion to Consolidate, stating that Staff believes granting the consolidation will aid the Commission in its evaluation of both applications and will not prejudice the rights of the parties.

On December 17, 2008, by Procedural Order, the Motion to Consolidate, Docket Nos. W-020619A-08-0470, W-04131A-08-0471 and W-20619A-08-0471, was granted.

On December 24, 2008, Staff filed Sufficiency Letters in the consolidated docket, stating the applications had met the sufficiency requirements outlined in the Arizona Administrative Code.

On January 9, 2009, by Procedural Order, the evidentiary hearing on the consolidated dockets was scheduled to begin on March 5, 2009, and other filing deadlines were established.

On January 15, 2009, Staff docketed a request to change the hearing date to March 12, 2009, due to the unavailability of Staff's witness.

On January 20, 2009, the Water Company and the Association ("Applicants") jointly filed a Response to Staff's request. Applicants did not oppose Staff's request, but requested that the deadline for Applicants to publish notice of the new hearing date be extended to February 6, 2009.

On January 21, 2009, an Amended Procedural Order was issued that rescheduled the hearing to March 16, 2009, and extended the deadline for publication and mailing.

On February 11, 2009, Staff filed a Staff Report recommending approval of the applications subject to certain conditions.

On February 24, 2009, the Applicants jointly filed a Certification of Publication and Proof of Mailing, which stated that notice of the applications and hearing date had been published on January 28, 2009, in the *Sedona Red Rock*, a newspaper of general circulation and that notice had been mailed to each customer on January 30, 2009.

On March 16, 2009, a full public hearing was held before a duly authorized Administrative Law Judge of the Commission at its offices in Phoenix, Arizona. The Company and Staff appeared through counsel. No members of the public were present at the hearing to give public comment. At the conclusion of the hearing, the matter was taken under advisement pending submission of a Recommended Opinion and Order to the Commission.

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Association was established in August 13, 2002, and is an Arizona Non-Profit Corporation formed for the purpose of representing homeowners who reside in the Cross Creek Ranch Subdivision. Cross Creek Ranch is a 210-acre parcel comprised of 84 lots, located approximately two miles southwest of Sedona, Arizona in Yavapai County, Arizona. The

Association also provides wastewater utility services to the Cross Creek Ranch Subdivision. 1

2

2. The Association is in good standing with the Commission's Corporation Division.

4

3

3. The Water Company is an Arizona public service corporation and was granted a CC&N to provide water utility service in portions of Yavapai County and specifically to the Cross Creek Ranch Subdivision in Commission Decision No. 65978 (June 17, 2003). The Water Company currently provides water utility service to 14 residential customers and four irrigation customers.

6 7

4. The Water Company is in good standing with the Commission's Corporation Division.

On October 8, 2008, the Association filed an application with the Commission for an

8

9

Adjudication Not a Public Service Corporation. On the same date, the Water Company filed an

10

application with the Commission for approval to transfer its water utility assets to its sole shareholder, the Association, and for cancellation of its water CC&N.

11

13

14

15

16

6. On February 11, 2009, Staff filed a Staff Report recommending approval of the applications, subject to certain conditions.

ADJUDICATION

8.

projected 84 connections at final build out.³

5.

7. On September 8, 2008, the Association filed an application with the Commission requesting adjudication not a public service corporation.

17 18

public service corporation, so that it may assume the duties of the Water Company and provide water

19

utility services to the homeowners of the Cross Creek Ranch Subdivision. According to the

20

application, at the time the Commission granted the Water Company its CC&N, the Water Company

2122

was owned by Cachet Western II, LLC ("Cachet") and Cachet built and paid for the Water

The Association's application requests Commission approval for adjudication not a

23

Company's infrastructure.² The application states the Water Company's current rates are based on

water usage only and were designed to provide increasing annual revenues as the utility reached the

2425

9. According to the Association's application, the Water Company currently serves only

26

27

28

¹ Commission Decision No. 65978, which granted the Water Company's CC&N determined the Association was not subject to the Commission's jurisdiction because of its non-profit status. Pg. 3, FOF 13.

3 IA

² Application at 2.

14 residential customers and four irrigation customers and it has never reached the projected 84 connections anticipated at the time the Water Company's CC&N was granted. As a result, the Water Company has been operating at a loss since it began operations.

- 10. The Association desires to take over the operations of the Water Company to eliminate certain fees, taxes, and operational expenses associated with managing a for profit entity and to eliminate duplication of insurance and accounting processes. (Tr. at 10) Further, the Association's witness testified that in the future the Water Company could make a profit and due to the Association's non-profit status, it could pose a conflict and there would be no easy way to return those profits to homeowners with the Water Company being a public service corporation. (Tr. at 9)
- 11. In Commission Decision No. 55568 (May 7, 1987), the Commission issued a policy directive regarding applications for adjudication not a public service corporation, and provided the following seven criteria for evaluation of such applications:
 - (i). The application must be submitted by a non-profit homeowners association;
 - (ii). The application must be a bona fide request by a majority of the membership of the association through a petition signed by 51 percent or more of the then existing members;
 - (iii). All associations making an application must have complete ownership of the system and necessary assets;
 - (iv). Every customer must be an owner/member with equal voting rights and each member is or will be a customer;
 - (v). The service area involved encompasses a fixed territory, which is not within the service area of a municipal utility or public service corporation, or if it is, the municipal utility or public service corporation is unable to serve;
 - (vi). There is a prohibition against further sub-division evidenced by deed restrictions, zoning, water restrictions, or other enforceable governmental regulations; and
 - (vii). The membership is restricted to a fixed number of customers, actual or potential.
- 12. According to the Association's Articles of Incorporation, the Association was incorporated as a non-profit corporation on August 13, 2002.⁴
- 13. The Association submitted into evidence the Minutes from a Special Meeting held on May 15, 2008, which shows that a majority of the Association's members voted to authorize the Board of Directors to amend the Association's Declaration of Covenants, Conditions, and

⁴ Association's application at Exhibit 7.

⁵ There were 73 ballots voting in favor, 1 vote against, and 10 absent votes.

Restrictions ("CC&Rs") ("First Amendment") to dissolve the Water Company and assume all duties and obligations of the Water Company to provide water service to the lots in the Cross Creek Ranch Subdivision.⁵ The First Amendment will become effective once recorded with Yavapai County, and upon approval by the Commission.

- 14. According to the First Amendment, the Association will own and operate the Water Company on or after the effective date of the First Amendment. Staff recommends that the Water Company file with Docket Control, as a compliance item in this docket, copies of all documentation transferring ownership of the Water Company's water system and assets to the Association, within 180 days of the effective date of a Decision in this matter.
- and that each lot owner have one vote on all matters related to water service, regardless of the number of lots owned. The Staff Report notes the Association's By-Laws do not have language mirroring the requirement that every customer is a member/owner with equal voting rights. Staff recommends that the Association amend its By-laws to reflect the language in the First Amendment to the CC&Rs within 180 days of any decision in this matter.
- 16. The First Amendment includes language which requires that the service area encompass a fixed territory which is not within the service area of a municipal utility or public service corporation. Staff was unable to find language mirroring the requirement in the Association's By-Laws and is therefore recommending that the Association docket as a compliance item in this docket, revised By-Laws reflecting the fixed territory requirement within 180 days of any decision in this matter.
- 17. The First Amendment contains language prohibiting further subdivision evidenced by deed restriction, zoning, water restriction, or other enforceable governmental regulation. Staff recommends that the Association file as a compliance item, a copy of the First Amendment recorded with Yavapai County within 180 days of a decision in this matter, which includes the prohibition against further subdivision language.

18. The First Amendment contains language stating the Association will provide water service to 84 members and limits membership to no more than 84 members. Staff recommends that the Association docket a copy of the First Amendment recorded with Yavapai County within 180 days of a decision in this matter, which includes the restricted membership language.

- 19. Although the First Amendment restricts the Association's membership to a fixed number, prohibits further subdivision, and requires equal voting rights for all members, the By-Laws allow for amendment of the CC&Rs by vote of 50 percent of the Association's members. Therefore, if the Association's First Amendment or By-Laws are ever changed to allow further subdivision of the current 84 lots, to allow an increased number of memberships, to allow expansion of the service area described in Exhibit A, or to change the equal voting rights requirements, the adjudication granted by this Order should become null and void, after due process. If the Association makes any of the above changes, the Association will be required to file an application for a CC&N within 30 days of any of the above mentioned events.
- 20. Staff's recommendations on the Association's application for adjudication not a public service corporation are reasonable and should be adopted.

TRANSFER AND CANCELLATION

- 21. The Water Company obtained a CC&N to provide water utility service to the Cross Creek Ranch Subdivision in Commission Decision No. 65978 (June 17, 2003).
- 22. On September 8, 2008, the Water Company filed an application with the Commission requesting authority to transfer its assets to the Association and for approval to cancel its CC&N.
- 23. The Water Company currently provides water service to the members of Cross Creek Ranch subdivision and is managed by the Association, its sole shareholder. The Water Company's service area encompasses a 210-acre parcel and is located approximately two miles southwest of Sedona, Arizona in Yavapai County, as described in Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.
 - 24. According to the Engineering Report, the Water Company consists of a well, with

⁶ Cross Creek Ranch Community Association By-Laws, Section 1.8.

 production capacity of 1,000 gallons per minute, a 250,000 gallon storage tank, a small pressure tank, booster pumps, 27 fire hydrants, and a distribution system serving 16 one-inch metered connections. Staff found that the average annual growth has been modest with 2-3 connections annually. Staff concluded that the water system has adequate storage capacity and adequate production.

- 25. While reviewing the Water Company's application, Staff discovered that the well which serves the service area is still owned by Cachet. Staff recommends that the Water Company file documentation with Arizona Department of Water Resources ("ADWR") transferring ownership of the well to the Association and docket proof of the Association's ownership within 180 days of a decision in this matter.
- 26. According to the Staff Report, the Water Company would be transferring assets consisting of booster pumps, storage and pressure tanks, main and distribution pipe, fire hydrants, meters, and a building housing the pumps and emergency generator.
- 27. The Water Company's application states refunds in the amount of \$8,580 for meter and service line installations and customer deposits totaling \$960 will be refunded to customers upon Commission approval of the transfer of assets to the Association. The application further states there are no refunds due on main extension agreements.
- 28. Because the Water Company has fewer than 15 connections, the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality ("ADWR") does not regulate the system⁷.
- 29. The Water Company's service area is not located within any Active Management Area ("AMA"). According to an ADWR Water Provider Compliance Status Report dated September 15, 2008, the Water Company is in compliance with departmental requirements governing water providers and/or community water systems.
- 30. The Water Company's witness testified that the transfer of the Water Company to the Association should be seamless to customers because the same board and certified operator will continue to run the Water Company and customers will be sending their bills to the same address and will be charged the same rates. (Tr. at 23)

⁷ The Staff Report states ADEQ considers the Water Company semi-private. Because the Water Company does not serve 15 or more connections for human consumption or have an average of at least 25 persons per day for at least 60 days per year on its system, it not subject to ADEQ regulation.

31. According to the Staff Report, the proposed transfer of the Water Company's assets to the Association will allow the Association to benefit from grants, subsidized loans, tax benefits, and lower costs for professional services. Staff further states that the proposed transfer will provide economies of scale because both water and wastewater services will be owned and managed by the Association.

- 32. Staff concludes the proposed transfer will not have an adverse effect on customers, service quality or operation of the company.
- 33. The Utilities Division Compliance database shows no outstanding compliance issues for the Water Company.
- 34. Staff recommends that the Water Company file copies of all documentation transferring ownership of the water system and assets to the Association within 180 days of a decision in this matter.
- 35. Staff further recommends that the Commission grant cancellation of the Water Company's CC&N subsequent to the Water Company's timely completion of the conditions recommended by Staff.
- 36. Staff's recommendations on the Water Company's application are reasonable and should be adopted.
- 37. The Association's witness testified that the Association is in agreement and will abide by all of Staff's recommendations.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Cross Creek Ranch Water Company is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281, 40-282 and 40-285.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Cross Creek Ranch Water Company and the subject matter of the applications.
 - 3. Notice of the applications was provided in accordance with the law.
- 4. Subject to Staff's conditions, Cross Creek Ranch Community Association is a fit and proper entity to receive the assets of Cross Creek Ranch Water Company.

DECISION NO. 71103

10

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

24

23

26

25

27 28

	5.	The proposed transfer of assets from Cross Creek Ranch Water Company to the Cross
Creek I	Ranch (Community Association will not have an adverse effect on service quality or operation
of the c	ompan	y.

- 6. Approval of the transfer of Cross Creek Ranch Water Company's assets to the Cross Creek Ranch Community Association is in the public interest.
- 7. Staff's recommendation that the cancellation of Cross Creek Ranch Water Company's Certificate of Convenience and Necessity be approved subject subsequent to completion of Staff's recommended conditions is reasonable.
- 8. The Cross Creek Ranch Community Association remains subject to the applicable laws, regulations, and order of other regulatory agencies, including, but not limited to ADEO, ADWR and Yavapai County.
 - 9. Staff's recommendations as set forth herein, are reasonable and should be adopted.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of Cross Creek Ranch Community Association for adjudication not a public service corporation is hereby granted, subject to the following Ordering Paragraphs.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Cross Creek Ranch Community Association shall file with Docket Control, as a compliance item in this docket, within 180 days of the effective date of this Decision, a copy of the revised By-Laws which mirrors the wording in Section 3.7 and Section 5.7 of the First Amendment to the Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions that every customer is a member/owner with equal voting rights and that each member is or will be a member.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Cross Creek Ranch Community Association shall file with Docket Control, as a compliance item in this docket, within 180 days of the effective date of this Decision, a copy of the revised By-Laws that mirror the required criteria found in Section 3.2 of the First Amendment to the Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions that the service area, described herein at Exhibit A, encompasses a fixed territory.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Cross Creek Ranch Community Association shall file with Docket Control, as a compliance item in this docket, a copy of the First Amendment to its Covenants,

Conditions, and Restrictions recorded with Yavapai County which complies with Staff's recommendations at Finding of Fact Nos. 14-18 and as set forth in Commission Decision No. 55568 (May 7, 1987), within 180 days of the effective date of this Decision.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Cross Creek Ranch Community Association shall file with the Commission's Docket Control, each ear during June, beginning in 2010, an annual certification by an officer that neither the recorded Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions nor the By-laws have been changed to allow further subdivision of the 84 lots in the adjudication area, to allow an increase number of memberships, to allow for expansion of the area to be served, or to change the equal voting requirements.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if at any time in the future the recorded Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions or the By-Laws for Cross Creek Ranch Community Association are changed to allow further subdivision of the 84 lots in the adjudication area, to allow an increased number of memberships, to allow for expansion of the area to be served, or to change the equal voting requirements, then the adjudication granted herein shall become null and void after due process, and Cross Creek Ranch Community Association shall file an application with the Commission for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity, within 30 days of any of the above mention events.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Cross Creek Ranch Community Association is hereby advised of its continuing obligations under the applicable laws, regulations and orders of other regulatory agencies, including, but not limited to, the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, the Arizona Department of Water Resources and Yavapai County.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the application of Cross Creek Ranch Water Company to transfer its assets to Cross Creek Ranch Community Association is hereby granted, subject to the following Ordering Paragraphs.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Cross Creek Ranch Water Company shall file with Docket Control, as a compliance item in this docket, the appropriate Arizona Department of Water Resources documentation, demonstrating that the well (Registration No. 55-200896) is owned by the Cross Creek Ranch Community Association, within 180 days of the effective date of this Decision.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Cross Creek Ranch Water Company shall file with Docket Control, as a compliance item in this docket, copies of all documentation transferring ownership of the water system and assets to Cross Creek Ranch Community Association, within 180 days of the effective date of this Decision.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Cross Creek Ranch Water Company shall file within 30 days of the completion of the transfer of the water system and assets to Cross Creek Ranch Community Association, an affidavit attesting that all customer deposits and refunds for meter and service line installation have been returned to the customers of Cross Creek Ranch Subdivision.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Cross Creek Ranch Community Association and/or Cross Creek Ranch Water Company fails to comply with the timeframes stated in the above Ordering Paragraphs the transfer of assets and the adjudication not a public service corporation granted herein shall be considered null and void, after due process.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that within 45 days upon completion of the above stated conditions, Cross Creek Ranch Water Company shall filed a motion in this docket requesting that the Commission cancel the Certificate of Convenience and Necessity granted in Decision No. 65978 (June 17, 2003).

DECISION NO. 71103

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that within 30 days of Cross Creek Ranch Water Company's 1 motion requesting cancellation of its Certificate of Convenience and Necessity, the Utilities Division 2 Staff shall verify Cross Creek Ranch Water Company's and Cross Creek Ranch Community 3 Association's compliance with the conditions in this Decision and prepare and docket, for 4 Commission approval, a Recommended Opinion and Order cancelling the Certificate of Convenience and Necessity granted in Decision No. 65978. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately. 7 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION. 8 9 10 OMMISS/ONER CHAIRMAN 11 12 COMMISSIONER 13 14 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, MICHAEL P. KEARNS, Interim Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, 15 have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, 16 this 5TH day of JUNE, 2009. 17 18 19 INTERIM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR 20 21 DISSENT 22 23 DISSENT 24 YBK:db 25 26 27

•								
1 2	SERVICE LIST FOR:	CROSS CREEK RANCH COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION AND CROSS CREEK RANCH WATER COMPANY						
3	DOCKET NOS.:	W-20619A-08-0470, W-04131A-08-0471 AND W-20619A-08-0471						
4		04/1						
5	Patrick J. Black							
6	Sarah A. Kubiak FENNEMORE CRAIG, PC							
7	Attorneys for Cross Creek Ranch Water Company, Inc.							
8								
9	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street							
10								
11	Phoenix, AZ 85007-2927							
12	Ernest G. Johnson, Director Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street							
13								
14	Phoenix, AZ 85007-2927							
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22	<u>.</u>							
23								
24								
25 26								
26 27								
21								

EXHIBIT A

LEGAL DESCRIPTION
Cross Creek Ranch Property

A parcel of land lying within Section 33, Township 17 North, Range 5 East, Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian, Yavapal County, Arizona, more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at the quarter corner common to Sections 28 and 33 of the above referenced township, marked by a BLM brass cap; Thence along the north line of Section 33 South 87°56'46". West a distance 328.54 feet to the E-E-W 1/256 comer of Sections 28 and 33, as monumented with a rebar and cap marked "LS19853"; thence South 3°14"02" West a distance of 664.39 feet to the C-E-NE-NW 1/256 corner of Section 33, as monumented with a rebar and cap marked "LS19853"; thence North 88°18'23" East a distance of 221.45 feet to a point monumented with a rebar and cap marked "LS12535"; thence South 66*47*18" West, a distance of 166.25 feet, thence South 58*15'47" West, a distance of 387.20 feet, thence South 50°02'52" West, a distance of 344.43 feet; thence South 68°33'24" West, a distance of 114.13 feet; thence South 47°47'24" West, a distance of 305.46 feet, thence South 27°29'24" West, a distance of 165.61 feet; thence South 57°58'21" West, a distance of 215.29 feet, thence South 58°06'18" West, a distance of 236.38 feet, thence North 76"10'00" West, a distance of 413.39 feet; thence South 66"06'39" West, a distance of 281.57 feet; thence South 09°23'39" West, a distance of 335.31 feet; thence South 08°35'21" East, a distance of 301.72 feet, thence South 36°28'19" East, a distance of 432.64 feet; thence South 52°23'19" East, a distance of 500.70 feet; thence South 47°21'19" East, a distance of 99.54 feet; thence South 51°53'19" East, a distance of 150.84 feet to a point on the north-south centerline of the southwest quarter of said Section 33; thence along the northsouth centerline of the southwest quarter of said Section 33, South 02*40'19" West, a distance of 101.51 feet to the C-N-SW 1/64 corner of Section 33; thence along the east-west centerline of the northeast quarter of the southwest quarter of said Section 33, North 88°59'04" East, a distance of 1306.97 feet to the C-N-S 1/64 of said Section 33, as monumented by a rebar with cap marked "LS16558"; thence along the east-west centerline of the northwest quarter of the southeast quarter of said Section 33, North 89°05'27" East, a distance of 1347,40 feet to the C-N-SE 1/64 comer of Section 33 monumented with a rebar and cap marked "LS16558"; thence along the east-west centerline of the northeast quarter of the southeast quarter, North 89°Ce'50" East, a distance of 673.83 feet to the NE-SE 1/64 comer of Section 33, monumented by a rebar and cap; thence along the north-south centerline of the northeast quarter of the southeast quarter of Section 33, North 04"22'51" East, a distance of 670.78 feet to the C-E-E 1/64 comer of said Section 33, monumented by a rebar and cap marked "LS16558"; thence along the east-west centerline of the section, South 88°43'01" West, a distance of 559.95 feet; a point monumented by a ½ inch rebar, thence North 00*09'51" East, a distance of 1207.19 feet; thence North 64°45'37" East, a distance of 109.15 feet; thence North 63°30'36" East, a distance of 414.82 feet; thence

North 05°44'16" West, a distance of 236.70 feet, thence North 61°16'15" East, a distance of 122.25 feet, thence North 10°22'37" West, a distance of 183.60 feet; thence North 13°28'37' West, a distance of 221.97 feet, thence North 36°12'37" West, a distance of 171.35 feet; thence North 31"55'37" West, a distance of 131.32 feet; thence North 37°57'37" West, a distance of 90.34 feet; thence North 75°38'37" West, a distance of 420.53 feet; thence South 77°59'37" West, a distance of 309.05 feet; thence South 62°39'37" West, a distance of 107.38 feet; thence South 56°25'57" West, a distance of 358.43 feet; thence North 42°24'23" West, a distance of 380.73 feet to a point of cusp; thence through a left curve from which the radius point bears North 39°11'35" West, northeasterly a distance of 166.96 feet along the curve concave to the northwest, having a radius of 727.01 feet and a central angle of 13°09'31" to a point of cusp which lies on the northerly line of Section 33; thence along the section line South 88°05'55" West, a distance of 324.33 feet to the point of BEGINNING.

Containing 220.98 acres, more or less.